



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/593,959 | 09/22/2006 | Nestor Rodriguez-Amaya | R.307421 | 4035 |

2119 7590 07/06/2009
RONALD E. GREIGG
GREIGG & GREIGG P.L.L.C.
1423 POWHATAN STREET, UNIT ONE
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

TUGBANG, ANTHONY D

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3729

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

07/06/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The restriction requirement (mailed on December 3, 2008) has been maintained. **Claims 20 through 33 continue to stand as being withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b)** as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made *without traverse* in the reply filed on December 12, 2008.

Upon further consideration by the examiner, the restriction requirement/election of species (mailed on March 5, 2009) has been withdrawn. Claims 34 through 39 have been examined on their merits as noted below.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.**

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the content is not directed to the claimed invention, i.e. a process of making as recited in at least Claim 34. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: --A Method for Installing a Magnet Valve--.

Claim Objections

Claims 34 through 39 are objected to because of the following informalities.

The changes suggested below are necessary to merely the language from a grammatical standpoint. In no way do these suggested changes affect the scope of the claimed invention.

In Claim 34, “following method steps” (line 2) should be removed; “the magnet” (line 4) should be replaced with --a magnet--; and "and valve" (line 6) should be replaced with --and the valve--.

In Claim 35, “the sum of the valve” (line 2) should be replaced with --a sum of a valve--.

In Claim 36, “weld” (line 2) should be replaced with --welding--; and “and magnetic” (line 2) should be replaced with --and the magnetic--.

In Claim 37, “weld” (line 2) should be replaced with --welding--; and “and magnetic” (line 2) should be replaced with --and the magnetic--.

In Claim 38, “the valve” (line 1) should be replaced with --the magnet valve--; "a housing" (line 1) should be replaced with --the housing--; "the compression" (line 2) should be

Art Unit: 3729

replaced with --a compression--; "the coil" (line 2) should be replaced with --a coil--; "the magnetic" (line 3) should be replaced with --a magnetic--; "the spring" (line 4) should be replaced with --a spring--; "the position" (line 6) should be replaced with --a position--; "as needed" (line 7) should be deleted; and "the force" (line 7) should be replaced with --the spring force--.

In Claim 39, "initial" (line 1) should be replaced with --spring--; "if needed" (line 2) should be deleted; and "the thickness" (line 3) should be replaced with --a thickness--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

The prior art does not teach all of the limitations of the claimed invention including:

locking the valve member in a receptacle of a fixed installation device,
displacing the magnet plate and the spacer plate by a predetermined amount relative to the valve member, and
connecting the armature and the receiving mandrel, so that the armature rests on the magnet plate (as specifically recited in Claim 34).

Accordingly, **Claims 34 through 39 are allowed.**

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters.

Art Unit: 3729

The cancellation of claims directed to the non-elected invention and corrections to the specification and claims as discussed above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:15 am - 4:45 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**/A. Dexter Tugbang/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3729**

July 1, 2009